

What is being proposed?

Major 20 year update to the County Master Plan:

- Establishes one Future Land Use Map for the County.
- Amends Future Land Use Maps to change Community Plan boundaries.
- Amends Future Land Use Maps to reflect the current land uses.
- Amends Future Land Use Maps to remove Receiving Area from 1,100 acres of Park Ranch Holdings land in Topaz Ranch Estates/Holbrook Community Plan
- Amend Future Land Use Maps to add new Receiving Area to 1,100 acres of Park Ranch Holdings land adjacent to the towns of Minden and Gardnerville

What is being proposed? (cont'd)

- Development Agreement with Park Ranch Holdings:
 - Caps development in new receiving area adjacent to Minden and Gardnerville at 2,500 homes
 - Dedicates right of way for Muller Parkway
 - Conserves sensitive agricultural land in the flood plain
 - Provides important drainage and storm water projects to protect the community
 - Provides recreational amenities for the enjoyment of public

Why is this being proposed?

- Direction from Board of County Commissioners, multiple planning documents
- Master Plan has not had a major update in 23 years
 - 5 yr updates is best practice
 - Does not reflect current conditions nor does it adequately plan for the future
- Receiving Area needs to be updated to reinvigorate the Transfer of Development Rights (TDR) Program – essential tool to conserve sensitive agricultural land
- Park Agreement caps growth in new receiving area
- New receiving area adjacent to towns where it can be most cost effectively provided with service
- Secures right of way for Muller Parkway
- Enhances flood and storm water protections for the towns
- Enhances recreational amenities for the citizens



COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

1594 Esmeralda Avenue, Minden, Nevada 89423

Tom Dallaire, P.E. Community Development Director

775-782-6201 FAX: 775-782-6297 website: www.douglascountyny.gov Building Division Engineering Division Planning Division Code Enforcement

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARINGS PROPOSED MASTER PLAN MAP AMENDMENTS

20-YEAR MASTER PLAN UPATE

Dear Property Owner(s):

You are receiving this notice because you are a landowner of property being affected by one or more proposed amendments to the Douglas County Master Plan Future Land Use Maps, or because you are landowner within the noticing range of such a request. The current and proposed changes which may affect or impact your property are shown on the enclosed map(s). At the top right hand corner of the enclosed map(s) is a box with a capital letter and number which corresponds to the proposed amendment as described below. Additional information on the proposed Master Plan Amendments are available at the Douglas County Community Development offices, Planning Division, at 1594 Esmeralda Avenue, Room 202, in Minden, Nevada. Information on the proposed amendments may be reviewed during regular office hours Monday through Friday (8:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m.), except legal holidays. You may also email the County Manager's Office at countymanager@douglasnv.us with questions. A final agenda and report for the public hearings will be available a minimum of three (3) business days prior to the meeting from the Community Development Department or online at: http://douglascountynv.iqm2.com/Citizens/Default.aspx. Information regarding the 20-year Master Plan Update can be accessed online at:

https://www.douglascountynv.gov/cms/one.aspx?portalId=12493103&pageId=12607102.

The public hearings on the proposed Master Plan Amendments will be heard on:

Planning Commission Meeting.

Date: November 12, 2019

Time: The meeting commences at 9:00 a.m., but these items will not to be heard before 1:00 p.m. These

matters may be continued without additional notice.

Location: Carson Valley Improvement Club, CVIC Hall, 1604 Esmeralda Ave, Minden, NV

The planning commission recommendation will then be heard by the Board of County Commissioners on: Board of County Commissioners Meeting.

Date: December 3, 2019

"Community Area Changes" Maps B.1-B.12


What's is a Community Plan Area? (Map B.1)

Within the Master Plan Community Plans contain information about each region of community within the county. The purpose of each is to ensure that the distinctive character of each area is established, maintained, and enhanced through goals and policies.




Douglas County Master Plan Noticing - Current Community Area

B.1



Douglas County Master Plan Noticing - Proposed Community Area




"Land Use Amendments and Corrections" Maps C.1-C.8




Douglas County Master Plan Noticing - Current Future Land Use

C.1



Douglas County Master Plan Noticing - Proposed Future Land Use




"Receiving Area Changes" Maps D.1-D.3




Douglas County Master Plan Noticing - Current Future LandUse





Douglas County Master Plan Noticing - Proposed Future Land Use Minden/Gardnerville Amended Receiving Area




Proposed TRE Receiving Area Land Use Modifications


RECEIVING AREA AND AGRICULTURAL LAND
USE REMOVED

TRE Plan & is updated to reflect the current zoning of the property

The updated land use will allow for 1-5 acre single family uses, commercial uses, and multifamily uses.



Douglas County Master Plan Noticing - Proposed Future Land Use Topaz Amended Receiving Area



What basis did staff have to recommend relocation/amendment of receiving area?

What is the Master Plan?

Required by State Law, NRS Chapter 278.150.

Purpose: To provide "long-term guidance on the development of cities, counties and regions in Nevada."

Adopted in 1996 and last updated in 2011. The most recent process to update the 2011 Master Plan began in 2016. Work to update the plan was never finalized. The current process to update the Master Plan was reinitiated in May of 2019

Best Practice: The Master Plan should include discussion and consideration by the County of policy, goals, and objectives related to long-term development.


What is Land Use?

"Land Use" is the principal planning element in the Douglas County Master Plan related to long-term development and growth. Land use policies, goals and objectives "protect the public health, safety, and welfare of residents and property owners by providing sufficient land for residential, commercial, mixed-use, industrial, and public uses and by locating these uses in appropriate locations" (2011 Douglas County Master Plan, Land Use Element).

What's is a Receiving Area?

Serve 2 primary purposes:


- Planning tool to assist policy makers with identifying areas of the County which are best situated to accommodate future growth and Development.
- Important requirement of the Transfer of Development Rights Program (TDR) the county has in place to incentivize the conservation of open space and agricultural lands in the community.



TDR Receiving Areas

- Adjacent to or within Urban
 Service Boundary
- Areas of expected growth
- Permits up to 16 units/acre*






TDR Sending Areas

- FR-19, Forest and Range
- A-19, Agricultural






TDR Program – Land Conserved

Approx. 76,000 acres of Ag Land in Douglas County (17%)

TDR Easements Protecting Floodplains:

• 73% of all land conserved is within the floodplain

Property Owner	Conservation Easement (Acreage)
Alton and Susan Anker	375.77
Galeppi Land & Livestock	700.02
Henningsen Nevada Trust	100.48
Hussman Land & Livestock	260.74
Kent and Marie Neddenriep	100.42
Little Mondeaux Limousin Corp.	2,137.81
West Side Nevada LLC	289.16
Total	3,964.40





DOUGLAS COUNTY VALLEY VISION

A VISION FOR A COMMUNITY TO MATCH THE SCENERY SEPTEMBER 2013

Prepared by: **DESIGN**WORKSHOP












Figure 1.4 Centered and Connected Neighborhoods




Map detail from the Minden and Gardnerville Plan for Prosperity



Adopted by the Towns November 2018.

Accepted by the Planning Commission on November 2018 (Walder/Oland, 4-1).

Accepted by the BOCC on December 6, 2018 (McDermid/Nelson, 5-0). The Plan identifies a "Future Urban Reserve Area."



5-Day Weather Forecast

No precipitation. Temperatures near normal. Normal high: 73, Normal low: 33,



83rd Year - No. 41

Gardnerville, Douglas County, Nevada. Thursday Morning. October 8, 1964

Ten Cents Per Copy

1972 Expressway to Bypass Towns

395 Thru Towns Set For Four Lanes in '65

Within ten years, Carson Valley will, in all probability, see an interstate highway system skirting the east side of

the Valley and bypassing Minden-Gardnerville.

That opinien, brought forth by Engineer Otis Wright of the Nevada Highway Department, preceded a detailed discussion of reconstruction work on Highway 395 through Minden and Gardnerville, at a joint meeting Monday afternoon at the Courthouse in Minden.

In his opening remarks, Mr. Wright said he had recently


Highway System, durin which California indicated wished to tie into Highway 395 at Topaz on the south and north of Stead.

Within three years, Mr Wrigh said, the overall state highway plans will be submitted to the Bureau of Public Roads, at which ime he would recommend tha Nevada be placed on the Interstate Highway System.

According to present plans, th Interstate Highway System car be expected after 1972, Wrigh aid, very probably an expressival type system, bypassing thowns, thus changing previous hinking on large scale highway construction of the present 39

Although bypassing town which have been on a highway oute almost always causes conternation, the engineer pointe out, most towns had found it ben fielal. Within, a 10-year period is almost certain the rout brough the towns would be unless to handle the furth flow is aid, and the towns would be to handle to police! I the property on the property of the p oute almost always causes con

a fast throughway on the Interstate System, allowing the town streets to handle town traffic.



with a conclusion reached that complete new alignments to Nevada Highway Engineer Otis Wright, Monday afternoon at traighten both turns were scarce y warranted in view of the prothe Courthouse in Minden, described the growth of an era, osed Interstate, and that acwith the coming proposed In-terstate Highway, after 1972, uring rights of way could prove which would by pass the towns in an Expressway System through the state. lengthy, if not an impossible,

Advertised Minden Land Auction **Unleashes Buzzing Controversy**

rown of Minden; said property to e auctioned at 1:30 p.m. on Oct. 0, 1904, on the steps of the Doug-se County Courthouse in Min-en, with the County reserving finden, Douglas County, Nevada.

(Continued on Page 3)

parcel in question is that between to the offic the Douglas County Fire Depart-tion intent.

A 13-foot hornet's nest was | knowledge, and on grounds that tirred up two weeks ago, with future expansion plans of the ublication of announcement of Douglas County Engine Company ale at public auction of 13 feet Douglas County Engine Company Lot 6, adjoining Lot 7, Block H, call for use of the additional 13

In addition, a number of individual townspeople have registered objection to the announced he fight to reject any or-all hits sale of the odd, sized parcel of the occept the bid deemed in land, on the grounds that no he best interest of the Town of town hearing was called prior to the announcement of sale, and that townspeople had a right to According to County Clerk that townspeople had a right to Earnhart W. Thran, the 13-foot be apprised of such action prior to the official publication of aucDouglas Not Assured Equal Representation-

Bi-County Hospital Prospects are Dim

assure Douglas County it would election of the board of directors receive equal representation by would be on a population basis, participating in the bi-county operation of the Carson-Tahoe Hos-pital, General Manager John Mc-Glade of the hospital spoke to a large group of Carson Valley (Chamber of Commerce members recently, describing the plight of the hospital and its hopes for bither hospital and its hopes for bither the hospital set in the hospital set in the hospital set is considered to the hospital set in the hospital s ounty operation.

assessment would be higher, but Historically, Mr. McGlade traced the Carson-Tahoe Hospital from its founding, in 1949, built on a Fleischmann Foundation grant, to its present critical inancial.period which he ascribed to the amount of money "on the books" and the lack of actual costs flow in the operation.

Statics that the believed the

Stating that he believed the Carson-Tahoe Hospital has done an excellent job in providing services to the community, McGlade said the operation has been maintained by the Carson-Tahoe Hospital Association since its found-ing, but that, little by little, the income has not matched the out-

go. To date, he declared, maintenance costs have been met out of income, but at present the building is old, and upgraded require-ments for patient safety have been such, that the need for ex-panded and modernized facilities is urgent and cannot be accomplished by the Association.

New Grant Denied

A request for funds was made again to the Fleischmann Foundation, the speaker said, but was denied, without stating a reason, by Foundation officials.

under present regulations.

Citing figures, Mr. McGlade said on the basis of a million dolassessment would be higher, but

Declaring that the new struc-ture would be connected to the old hospital, it was noted from the floor that when a hospital is needed, all arguments cease, and that it is necessary to have a hospital in the general area.

Mr. McGlade pointed out that (Continued on Page 3)

Western Nevada Waterfowi Season Opens Saturday

Saturday, Oct. 10, one half hour before sunrise, will see the opening of the waterfowl season in vestern Nevada.

Good news to local waterfowl hunters is the fact that the fed-eral framework for the duck sea-

Within ten years, Carson Valley will, in all probability, see an interstate highway system skirting the east side of

the Valley and bypassing Minden-Gardnerville.
That opinion, brought forth by Engineer Otis Wright of the Nevada Highway Department, preceded a detailed discussion of reconstruction work on Highway 395 through Minden and Gardnerville, at a joint meeting Monday afternoon at the Courthouse in Minden.

In his opening remarks, Mr. Wright said he had recently returned from a San Francisco meeting concerning future

extensions of the Interstate Highway System, during which California indicated it wished to tie into Highway 395 at Topaz on the south and north of Stead.

Within three years, Mr Wright said, the overall state highway plans will be submitted to the Bureau of Public Roads, at which time he would recommend that Nevada be placed on the Interstate Highway System.

According to present plans, the Interstate Highway System can be expected after 1972, Wright said, very probably an expressway type system, bypassing the towns, thus changing previous thinking on large scale highway construction of the present 395 route.

Although bypassing towns which have been on a highway route almost always causes consternation, the engineer pointed out, most towns had found it beneficial. Within a 10-year period, it is almost certain the route through the towns would be unable to handle the towns would be unable to police the trade of the towns to ld be unable to police the trade of the towns to ld be unable to police the trade of the townspectors.

By bypassing, it would provide a fast throughway on the Interstate System, allowing the town streets to handle town traffic.

For those reasons, Wright said, no major changes were planned at this time for realignments on Highway 395 through Minden and Gardnerville, although extensive improvements were on the drawing boards, and work is expected to be done during the second quarter of next year, beginning approximately in May.

Aerial Photos


Turning the discussion over to Assistant Engineer Mel Fodrin, a number of 8-foot, low altitude aerial photos were set up for the large number of persons gathered for the hearing.

Showing, in clear detail, the highway and through streets of the towns, Mr. Fodrin said there i were only a few areas of major concern to the Highway Department, mainly the curve at the north end of Minden, the highway entryways of County Road

Muller Parkway

November 20, 2018 BOCC Meeting, Board affirms the County's priority for constructing Muller Parkway, four lanes to maintain LOS C (Penzel/Walsh 5-0).

April 30, 2019, Board adopts the 2017 Douglas County transportation plan as presented (Rice/Nelson 5-0). The Plan requires construction of 4 lane Muller Parkway by 2025 to maintain LOS C.



Muller Parkway Financing

- Board Direction: 4 lane county road from Riverview Drive south of Gardnerville to U.S. 395 north of the Town of Minden
- County Obligation under proposed Development Agreement: construct 2 lanes of Muller by 2026
- Est. Cost of 2 lane project: \$12.4 million
 - 20 yr bond at 3.5% interest = \$980,000 annual bond payment
 - \$500,000 set aside in current budget.
 - If approved, county will have to prioritize additional funding to afford bond payment
- Est. Cost for 4 lane project: \$40-44 million, based on timing and inflation
 - \$12.4 million in county funding as outlined above
 - \$25 million federal BUILD grant application (unsecured)
 - \$5 million Nevada DOT funding (unsecured)
- BUILD Grant Application
 - Highly competitive, no guarantee, rural allocations improve competitiveness
 - "Shovel Ready" project will be most competitive secured right of way and approx. 30% design

2,500 Unit Max - Perspective

- Subject to Growth Ordinance (2% per year) and Market Limitations
- Since enacted in 2007, growth ordinance cap has never been reached, free market has limited development in Douglas County
- 2019 year to date less than 100 units approved, less than half allowed under growth ordinance, less than half of those are for developments
- Approx. 10% of existing housing stock in Douglas County
- Will take 20-50 years to develop

2007 Growth Management Ordinance DCC Chapter 20.560

Limits the number of new homes that can be built to 2% growth annually (not including exempt projects prior to 2007). Building permit allocations are broken down into 2 categories: individual (70%) & project (30%). Currently just over 200 total allocations are available for use each year. Unused allocations "roll over" from year to year.

Projects with pre-existing Development Agreements (approved prior to 2007) & vested projects are not subject to the Growth Management Ordinance.

Building permits are issued on a 1st come 1st served basis & expire if not used within 1 year of issuance (one single extension may be granted for six months). Unused permits go back into the excess allocation "bank" and become available for future use.

A Project applicant may also borrow against future allocations for permits (requires approval by the BOCC). The cumulative number of allocations taken by all projects may not exceed 40% of any year's allocations.

Park Ranch Holdings /Douglas County Development Agreement Terms (includes but not limited to)

- Conditioned on Master Plan Land Use Map Amendment changing receiving area designation on approx.
 1,044 acres of Park property in the Topaz Ranch Estates to the Minden and Gardnerville;
- Park deed approx. 75.7 acres (205 ft. wide ROW) to the County for construction of Muller Parkway;
- Detention pond(s) must be installed on Park property east of Muller at shared expense to the parties;
- Park must grant a public drainage easement, for Highway 88 drainage culverts (removing approx. 100+ Minden homes from the floodplain);
- County required to construct 2 lanes of Muller within 6 years;
- County must construct approx. 12,691 linear feet of Muller and 7 access points;
- County & Park share construction costs for approx. 2,604 linear feet segment of Muller through Ashland Park;
- Park may develop a maximum of 2,500 residential dwelling units upon the approved receiving area;
- Requires connection to municipal sewer & water providers; Commercial buildings in excess of 30,000 sq. ft. prohibited;

Park Ranch Holdings / Douglas County Development Agreement Terms (includes but not limited to)

- Non-residential zoning uses in the receiving area would result in a proportional reduction in SFR development rights;
- 2,500 units to be developed must utilize TDRs from "Klauber Ranch"; Klauber subject to the terms of a deed restriction/conservation easement & would remain agriculture/open space in the future. Klauber water rights would also be restricted;
- Park grant approx. 7,330' long trail easement across "Klauber Ranch" & 3 parcels west of Klauber opening approx. 7,330 feet of trail, much of it along the Carson River, for recreation use by the public. Eventually providing trail access along the Martine Slough Trail and Muller Parkway all the way to the Nature Conservancy;
- Precludes the County from rescinding the Receiving Area land use designation for 30 years; and
- Supersedes all previous agreements/ordinances.

A copy of the Development Agreement can be viewed on the Master Plan Update webpage. The previous Development Agreements Ordinances 2004R-1097 and 2007-1223 are also available on the County's webpage.

Questions?